



OMB No. 0651-0011

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION

Atty. & Trademark No.	01142-0241-01000	Appln. No.	37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) Divisional of Prior Application No. 09/155,003
Applicant	Enjuanes Sanchez, et al.		
Filing Date	May 23, 2003	Group:	1648

RECEIVED

MAY 29 2003

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initial*	Document Number	Issue Date	Name	Class	Sub Class	Filing Date If Appropriate

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
	Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Class	Sub Class	Translation Yes or No
	WO 91/03552	March 21, 1991	International			English
	WO 94/17098	August 4, 1994	International			English abstract

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)	
/	Van der Most, et al., A Domain at the 3' End of the Polymerase Gene Is Essential for Encapsidation of the Coronavirus Defective Interfering RNAs, J. Virol. vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 3219-26 (1991).
/	Chang, et al., A cis-Acting Function for the Coronavirus Leader in Defective Interfering RNA Replication, J. Virol., vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 8223-31 (1994).
/	Méndez, et al. Structure and Encapsidation of Transmissible Gastroenteritis Coronavirus (TGEV) Defective Interfering Genomes, Adv. Exp. Med. and Biol., vol. 380, pp. 583-89 (1995).
/	Liao, et al., Coronavirus Defective-Interfering RNA as an Expression Vector: The Generation of a Pseudorecombinant Mouse Hepatitis Virus Expressing Hemagglutinin Esterase, Virol., vol. 208, pp. 319-27 (1995).
	Méndez, et al., Molecular Characterization of Transmissible Gastroenteritis Coronavirus Defective Interfering Genomes: Packaging and Heterogeneity, Virol., vol. 217, pp. 495-507 (1996).
/	International Search Report for PCT/ES 97/00059

Examiner	Date Considered 11/08/94
*Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	
Form PTO 1449 Patent and Trademark Office - U.S. Department of Commerce	

* References were NOT considered because the TPO failed to comply with 37 CFR § 1.97(d).